

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

Γ	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/774,701	02/10/2004	Byung Chul Ahn	049128-5144	8591
	9629 7.	590 08/29/2006		EXAMINER	
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LL				ALANKO, ANITA KAREN	
	WASHINGTON, DC 200		w	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1765	
				DATE MAILED: 08/29/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	 			1 2 11 11 11					
		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany			74,701	AHN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Exar	niner	Art Unit					
			K. Alanko	1765					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appears o	on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress				
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAN USE IN A LONGER, FROM THE MAN USE IN A LONGER AND THE M	ILING DATE C f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nication. trory period will apply ill, by statute, cause t	F THIS COMMUNI no event, however, may a and will expire SIX (6) MOI the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on election 5/	15/06						
•	·								
/—									
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	·		- -	,					
· _	on of Claims								
•	4) Claim(s) <u>1-87</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are v	withdrawn from	consideration.						
•=	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· -	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>49-54,58-83 and 87</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>55-57, 84-86</u> is/are objected	to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or elect	ion requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)🖾 :	9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)[11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P r No(s)/Mail Date 11/15/0 g 6/3/05;2		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (P ⁻ 	ГО-152)				

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II in the reply filed on December 23, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no undue burden. This is not found persuasive because the groups have different searches and classification, which poses undue burden.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II in the reply filed on May 15, 2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it has not been established that the inventions are unrelated and that it does not address the traverse of December 23, 2005. This is not found persuasive because the different effects, different design and different modes of operation are that Group I has etching according to a crystallization rate, for use in any device, whereas Group II has no crystallization and is directed to a display device. The traverse of December 23, 2005 has now been addressed above.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in paragraph [0013] the same reference numeral "22" is used for two different parts, and in paragraph [0019] it appears that active layer "13" should have reference numeral - - 14 - - in order to be consistent with Fig.3B.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,701

Art Unit: 1765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 54, 58 and 87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 54, line 3, the term "the transparent conductive film" lacks proper antecedent basis. In claims 58 and 87, the term "Tn" is unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 49-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaneko et al (US 6,433,842 B1).

Kaneko discloses a method for fabricating a thin film transistor substrate for a display device, comprising:

forming a gate line 2,3 (col.7, line 47);

forming a gate insulating film 4 covering the gate line (col.7, line 48); forming a semiconductor layer 5, 6 at a desired area on the gate insulating film (col.7, lines 48-52);

forming a data line 7,8,9 crossing the gate line, a source electrode and a drain electrode (col.7, lines 52-60);

forming a protective film 10 in such a manner to cover the gate line, the data line, the source electrode and the drain electrode (col.8, lines 34-35); and

forming a pixel electrode 11 making an interface with the protective film at the remaining area excluding an area where the protective film is formed and connected to the drain electrode (col.9, lines 22-32).

Claims 49-53, 59-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kim et al (US 6,407,782).

Kim discloses a method of fabricating a thin film transistor substrate for a display device comprising forming the gate line, gate insulating film, semiconductor film, date line, source and drain electrodes, protective film and etching to form a pixel electrode (col.6, lines 41-65; col.4, lines 63-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 54, 74-83 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaneko or Kim as applied above, further in view of JP 2001-0066262.

Kaneko or Kim fail to teach using a photoresist to pattern the transparent conductive film. JP 2001-0066262 teaches that a useful technique for patterning transparent conductive films for use as pixel electrodes is to coat the transparent conductive film, to deposit a photoresist, to crystallize the transparent electrode where the photoresist is present, and to selectively etch to thereby leave on the crystalline transparent conductive film (see abstract). It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to form the patterned pixel electrode as taught by JP 2001-0066262 in the method of Kim or Kaneko because JP 2001-0066262 teaches that it is a useful, simpler technique for patterning transparent metal layers.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 55-58 and 84-87 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

Art Unit: 1703

base claim and any intervening claims, and if rewritten to overcome the 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph rejection.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not teach or suggest a method of fabricating a thin film transistor substrate for a display device comprising forming the gate line, gate insulating film, semiconductor film, date line, source and drain electrodes, protective film and a pixel electrode, and forming a crystallization catalyst layer at the remaining area excluding an area where the protective film is formed between the fifth and said sixth step, as in the context of claim 55.

The closest prior art, Kim or Kaneko, etch amorphous transparent electrode layers using a mask. There is no motivation to add the extra step of forming a crystallization layer and then to use the crystallization layer to enable selective removal of the amorphous, yet leaving the crystalline pixel electrode layer, as in the context of claim 55.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art shows methods of making liquid crystal displays.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anita K. Alanko whose telephone number is 571-272-1458. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri until 2:30 pm (Wed until 11:30).

Art Unit: 1765

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571-272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anita K Alanko
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1765